1. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2002; (3):CD002279.

Fluoride varnishes for preventing dental caries in children and adolescents.

Marinho VC(1), Higgins JP, Logan S, Sheiham A.

Author information:

(1) Rua Herculano dr Freitas - 957/302, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil, vcmarinho@yahoo.com

Update in

Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;7:CD002279.

BACKGROUND: Topically applied fluoride varnishes have been used extensively as an

operator-applied caries-preventive intervention for over two decades. OBJECTIVES: To determine the effectiveness and safety of fluoride varnishes in

the prevention of dental caries in children and to examine factors potentially

modifying their effect.

SEARCH STRATEGY: Multiple electronic database searches, reference lists of

articles, journal handsearch, selected authors and manufacturers.

SELECTION CRITERIA: Randomized or quasi-randomized controlled trials with blind

outcome assessment, comparing fluoride varnish with placebo or no treatment in

children up to 16 years during at least one year. The main outcome was caries

increment measured by the change in decayed, missing and filled tooth surfaces

(D(M)FS).

DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: Inclusion decisions, quality assessment and data

extraction were duplicated in a random sample of one third of studies, and

consensus achieved by discussion or a third party. Study authors were contacted

for missing data. The primary measure of effect was the prevented fraction (PF),

that is the difference in caries increments between the treatment and

groups expressed as a percentage of the increment in the control group. Random  $\,$ 

effects meta-analyses were performed where data could be pooled. Potential

sources of heterogeneity were examined in random effects meta-regression analyses.

MAIN RESULTS: Nine studies were included, involving 2709 children. For the seven

that contributed data for the main meta-analysis, the  $D\left( M\right) FS$  pooled prevented

fraction estimate was 46% (95% CI, 30% to 63%; p<0.0001). There was substantial

heterogeneity, confirmed statistically (p<0.0001). The pooled  $d(e/m)\,fs$  prevented

fraction estimate was 33% (95% CI, 19% to 48%; p<0.0001). No significant

association between estimates of D(M) FS prevented fractions and baseline caries

severity or background exposure to fluorides was found in meta-regression, and a

funnel plot of the seven studies indicated no relationship between prevented

fraction and study precision. In both methods, power is limited when only a few  $\,$ 

trials are included.

REVIEWER'S CONCLUSIONS: The review suggests a substantial caries-inhibiting

effect of fluoride varnish in both the permanent and the deciduous dentitions  $\ \ \,$ 

based largely on trials with no treatment controls. There is little information

concerning acceptability of treatment or possible side effects in the included

trials. Given the relatively poor quality of most of the included studies and the

wide confidence intervals around the estimates of effect, there remains a need

for further trials. It is important that these trials should be of high quality

and include assessment of potential adverse effects.

DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002279

PMID: 12137653 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]